# *MEMS Actuation Using Electrostatic Combdrives*

*Il-Woong Jung, Daesung Lee, Uma Krishnamoorthy, Olav Solgaard Stanford University*

> **O.S. Company**  *Stanford*

# **Outline**

- What are electrostatic combdrives and why use them?
	- First order description of Electrostatic combdrives
	- Comparison of combdrives and parallel-plate actuators
- **Combdrive Analyses**
- Self-Aligned Vertical Combdrives
- **Examples** 
	- Gimbaled 2-D MEMS Biaxial Scanner
	- Tip-tilt-piston Mirror Arrays
		- **Design and Simulations**
		- **Fabrication**
		- **Characterization of First Generation Arrays**
	- Large Throw Deformable Mirror Arrays





**O.Solgaar**  *Stanford*



# **Combdrive Basics**

- The voltage across the interdigitated electrodes creates a force that is balanced by the spring force in the crab-leg suspension
- Note that combdrives that are fabricated in a single layer (as this one) are automatically self aligned
- This type of actuator is more complex to fabricate than parallel-plate actuators if the forces are to be applied vertically as in AO



*O.Solgaard Stanford*

### Force in Combdrives

$$
F = \frac{1}{2} \cdot V^2 \cdot \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}
$$
  

$$
F = \frac{1}{2} \cdot V^2 \cdot \frac{2N \cdot \varepsilon \cdot h}{g}
$$
  

$$
F = V^2 \cdot \frac{N \cdot \varepsilon \cdot h}{g}
$$



*N* is number of comb-fingers, *h* is the thickness of the comb-fingers (perpendicular to the plane in the figure), and *g* is the width of gap between the comb-fingers





*O.Solgaard Stanford*

## Combdrive vs. parallel plate



$$
\frac{F_{cd}}{F_{pp}} = \frac{s^2}{2g^2} \approx \frac{9}{2} \frac{(\text{Displacement})^2}{(\text{Lithographic limit})^2}
$$



*Combdrives good for large displacements and large forces (broad-band AO/Tip-tilt)*







### Vertical Combdrive Analysis



# Stability Analysis

Force balance equations

$$
No_c \varepsilon_0 V^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2(g - \delta g - x)} + \frac{1}{2(g + \delta g + x)} \right] = k_z z
$$
  

$$
No_c \varepsilon_0 z V^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2(g - \delta g - x)^2} - \frac{1}{2(g + \delta g + x)^2} \right] = k_x x
$$

Stability conditions

Solutions at perfect alignment  $\delta g = 0$ 

$$
k_z - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} V^2 \ge 0
$$
  

$$
k_x - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} V^2 \ge 0
$$

*c*  $x^{\prime \prime}$   $z$  $N\varepsilon_0$ o *k k*  $V_{\text{max}} = g$ 0 max 0.5 ε = *z x k k*  $z_{\text{max}} = g \sqrt{\frac{r}{2}}$ *Maximum sustainable voltage Maximum deflection*





### Misalignment related Instability





### Micromirrors with Self-Aligned Vertical Comb Actuators







### Self-Aligned Actuator Fabrication Process





### MEMS Biaxial Scanner



- Static Optical Defl ection
	- $\blacksquare$  Inner Axis:  $+/-7.5^\circ$ at 133V
	- $\blacksquare$  Outer Axis:  $+/$ -7.8 $\degree$ at 200V
- **Resonant Freq.** 
	- **Inner Axis: 3.5kHz**
	- **Duter Axis: 980Hz**

#### **500**µ**mx500**µ**m**





### Mirror Rotation



### Frequency Response



- Frequency Response of inner (left) and outer frame (right) Driving voltage: (42+10sinω*t*)V on both axes
	- Resonant frequency: 3.5 kHz with ± 8.8° optical deflection on the inner axis and 980 Hz with  $\pm$  10.5 $^{\circ}$  optical deflection on the outer axis





# Tip-Tilt Mirrors (CCIT)

### **Specifications:**

Pixel Size: 100 µm Pixel Count: 1024x1024 Pixel Flatness:  $\lambda$ /50  $\omega$  1.55  $\mu$ m Response Time: 10 µs / 100 µs Fill Factor: 98% Phase Resolution: 8 bits Tip/tilt Angle: ±10° mechanical Pixel Stoke: λ/2 @ 1.55 µm

For large deflections at small pixel sizes, the comb teeth gaps will need to be small  $(\sim1.0 \mu m)$  for high density and large forces!















# Summary of Device Simulation



- **Mirror flatness achieved with an SOI mirror process**
- Tip & tilt angles of ±10° mechanical simulated
- **Pixel stroke & response time satisfy requirements**
- **Actuation voltages below 200V**
- **Fill-factor of 94 %**





## 1st Generation Objectives

#### Conservative Design

- **First generation design has 3.0um actuator** gaps.
- Spring thickness > width. Implemented to reduce mask/etch steps required.

#### • Objectives for first generation design

- Focus on process development
- Get working devices to characterize both t he process and the device design
- Avoid tight alignment of coarse bottom co mbs to upper vertical combs in vertical co mbdrive self-alignment:  $\pm 0.5$ um for 1.0um comb gaps

#### **Process Development**

• Multi-layer wafer bonding of patterned sili con

#### *Actual Device Specifications*

- Pixel Size: 360 um
- **Pixel Count: 3x3 Array**
- Pixel Flatness:  $\lambda$ /50 @ 1.55 um
- Comb Width/Gap: 3 um
- Response Time: ~20 us/~100 us\*
- Fill Factor: 99%
- Tip/tilt Angle: ±1°,±2° mechanical @200V\*
- Pixel Stoke:  $\lambda$ /3 @ 1.55 mm<sup>\*</sup>

#### \*simulated values







# Fabrication Process – Wiring/Interco nnect Chip

### **Etch oxideDetrode.philiside/poly and trattern polyttern gold**



Fabrication Process – Flip-chip BondingïП





## Fabrication Process – Substrate Release

### **Mask with prepip blought that the DRIE silicon substrate**





### Fabricated Device Dimensions

### Simulated and fabricated device dimensions



\*SEM analysis







## Fabricated Mirror/Actuators



# First Generation Mirror Array



Chip wire-bonded to DIP package for testing





# Array Surface Profile

### Minimal initial tilt of unbiased mirrors after release



\*surface quality poor for this array due to processing error





# Measurement Results







### Large-Stroke Deformable Mirror Arrays

### **DESIGN OBJECTIVES:**

- 20 µm of vertical displacement with 100V applied to the underlying electrodes
- Resonance frequency >1kHz
- High fill factor (>98%)
- RMS surface error of <30nm

• Use a self-aligned vertical comb drive structure and appropriate spring designs

**METHOD**

**EMPLOYED:**

- Spring design and optimizing layer thicknesses
- •Mirror/Pixel size and spacings
- Using singlecrystalline silicon as our mirror layer





### Simulation Results

Coventor modal analysis of Mirror: Mode 1: 4.78 kHz







## **Conclusions**

- Use Combdrives for applications that require large forces (broad band AO and tip-tilt)
- Self-aligned vertical combdrives with small comb gaps of  $\sim$ 1.0um are necessary to achieve the high forces necessary at small device sizes of 100um
- Successful designs with large force, large displacement vertical comb drives
	- Gimbaled Biaxial Scanner
		- Large deflections and large forces demonstrated
	- **Tip-Tilt 1st Generation device** 
		- A conservative device with 3.0um comb gaps was designed and successfully fabricated.
		- New fabrication process for high-fill factor mirror arrays with tip-tilt-piston vertical comb actuators has been verified
	- Ongoing Development of Large Displacement deformable piston mirror arrays





### Device Characteristics

- **Angle limitation of inner axis** 
	- **Stiffer springs due to larger than designed spring** thickness: Grinding/polishing process has a TTV(total thickness variation) of 2.0um
	- **Less force due to increase in comb gaps: Bottom** comb teeth may have been etched in width due to multiple etching steps, increasing gaps between upper and lower teeth
- **Voltage limitation** 
	- Weak comb teeth: Bottom combs may have become weak in the transversal direction due to a decrease in comb thickness and width causing faster pull-in





# Fabricated Wiring/Interconnect Chip





